IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA CHARLOTTE DIVISION CIVIL CASE NO. 3:19-cv-00519-MR

ROBERT ANTHONY FISHER,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.)
EDDIE CATHEY, et al.,) ORDER
Defendants.))

THIS MATTER is before the Court *sua sponte*.

Pro se Plaintiff filed this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983,¹ complaining about incidents that allegedly occurred at the Union County Jail. [Doc. 1]. In an Order issued June 9, 2020, the Complaint was dismissed on initial review pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915. [Doc. 14]. Plaintiff was granted 30 days within which to amend his Complaint, and he was cautioned that the failure to do so would result in this action's dismissal without further notice. [Id.].

Plaintiff has failed to comply with the Court's June 9, 2020 Order and the time to do so has expired. Plaintiff appears to have abandoned this

¹ Plaintiff also claimed jurisdiction according to "Negligence [and] Federal Tampering With Mail" [Doc. 1 at 1], but these theories provide no basis for the Court's jurisdiction.

action and the Court is unable to proceed. This case will therefore be dismissed without prejudice. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b) ("If the plaintiff fails to prosecute or to comply with these rules or a court order, a defendant may move to dismiss the action or any claim against it."); Link v. Wabash R.R. Co., 370 U.S. 626, 630-33 (1962) (although Rule 41(b) does not expressly provide for *sua sponte* dismissal, Rule 41(b) does not imply any such restriction and a court has the inherent power to dismiss a case for lack of prosecution or violation of a court order).

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that this action is DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of Court is directed to terminate this action.

IT IS SO ORDERED $_{igned:\ July\ 27,\ 2020}$

Martin Reidinger

Chief United States District Judge